Corrections and clarifications for
M. Birkner, J. Blath, M. Capaldo, A. Etheridge,
M. Möhle, J. Schweinsberg, A. Wakolbinger,
Alpha-stable branching and beta-coalescents,
Electron. J. Probab. 10 (2005), no. 9, 303–325

## As of 10th April 2015

- 1. p. 308, l. 12 :  $\sigma^2$  is non-negative,  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary real number
- 2. p. 308, below (1.13) : Note that (1.13) is valid (for example) for  $f \in C_c^2([0,\infty))$ , where  $C_c^2([0,\infty))$  denotes the set of two times continuously differentiable functions with compact support.  $C_c^2([0,\infty))$  is a core for Z on the Banach space  $C_0([0,\infty))$  (continuous functions that vanish at infinity, equipped with the sup-norm).
- 3. p. 308, (1.14) should read  $\Psi(u) = -\gamma u + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}u^2 + \cdots$  (otherwise, the parametrisation does not fit to (1.13) and to the requirement  $E[e^{-\lambda Y_t} | Y_0 = s] = e^{-\lambda s + t\Psi(\lambda)})$ . Note that this fits to the re-parametrisation  $\Psi(u) = -mu + cu^{\alpha}$  (for  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  with c > 0 and  $m = -\Psi'(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ ) discussed at the top of p. 310.
- 4. p. 308, l. -11 : the process Z may explode  $\ldots$
- 5. (1.16) on p. 309 should read

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int R_{T^{-1}(t)}(da_1)\dots R_{T^{-1}(t)}(da_p)f(a_1,\dots,a_p)\right]$$
$$=\mathbb{E}\left[\int db_1\dots db_{|\Pi_t|}f_{\Pi_t}(b_1,\dots,b_{|\Pi_t|})\right],$$

where  $(\Pi_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Beta $(2 - \alpha, \alpha)$ -coalescent started from  $\{\{1\}, \ldots, \{p\}\}$ . This follows in fact from Thm. 1.1.

Formula (1.16) in the published paper was obtained (somewhat carelessly) from this by implicitly replacing the deterministic time t by the random time T(t) (defined in Thm. 1.1) on both sides. (1.16) as it stands requires

a careful interpretation of the right-hand side: It must be understood that  $(\Pi_t)_t$  and T(t) appearing in it are not independent but connected (in a complicated fashion) through the time-change construction described in Thm. 1.1.

We are grateful to Olivier Hénard for pointing out this inaccuracy.