Commutative Algebra

Exercise 51: Let K be any field, and $\underline{\alpha}=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ be an independent set of real numbers. Show:

a.
$$\phi_{\underline{\alpha}}: K(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \to K\{\{t\}\}: \frac{f}{g} \mapsto \frac{f(t^{\alpha_1},\ldots,t^{\alpha_n})}{g(t^{\alpha_1},\ldots,t^{\alpha_n})}$$
 is a K-algebra*mono*morphism.

$$b.\ \nu: K(x_1,\dots,x_n)^*\mapsto \mathbb{R}: h\mapsto (\text{ord}\circ\phi_{\underline{\alpha}})(h) \text{ is a valuation of } K(x_1,\dots,x_n).$$

c.
$$1 = dim(R_v) < trdeg_K(K(x_1, \dots, x_n)) - trdeg_K(R_v/\mathfrak{m}_{R_v}) = n$$
, for $n \ge 2$.

Note, ord : $K\{\{t\}\}^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is the valuation of $K\{\{t\}\}$ from Exercise 50.

Exercise 52: Let R be a Dedekind domain and $0 \notin S \subset R$ multiplicatively closed. Show that either $S^{-1}R = \text{Quot}(R)$ or $S^{-1}R$ is a Dedekind domain.

Exercise 53: [Lemma of Gauß]*

Let R be a Dedekind domain. For a polynomial $f = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x^i \in R[x]$ we call $c(f) = \langle \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle_R$ the *content* of f. Show that $c(f) \cdot c(g) = c(f \cdot g)$ for $f, g \in R[x]$.

Hint, reduce to the case that R is local (i.e. a DVR), and use Nakayama's Lemma in a suitable way.

Exercise 54: [Chinese Remainder Theorem]

Let R be a Dedekind domain and $I_1, \ldots, I_n \subseteq R$.

a. Show that the following sequence is exact

$$R \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R/I_{i} \xrightarrow{\psi} \bigoplus_{i < j} R/(I_{i} + I_{j}),$$

where
$$\phi(x)=(x+I_1,\ldots,x+I_n)$$
 and $\psi(x_1+I_1,\ldots,x_n+I_n)=(x_i-x_j+I_i+I_j)_{i< j}.$

b. Given $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$. Show there is an $x \in R$ such that $x \equiv x_i \pmod{I_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ if and only if $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{I_i + I_j}$ for $i \neq j$.

Hint for part a., localize with respect to maximal ideals! - Note, part b. generalizes 1.12.

In-Class Exercise 29: Describe Div(R) and Pic(R) for $R = K[x, y]/\langle y - x^2 \rangle$?

^{*}What is the connection to the *Lemma of Gauß* in 1.38, stating "R factorial implies R[x] factorial"? If we replace the assumption "R Dedekind domain" by "R UFD" the above result holds true as well. Call a polynomial *primitive* if c(f) = R (or equivalently if R^* is the gcd of the coefficients of f), then we deduce from the above result that a primitive polynomial in R[x] can only factorize in a product of primitive polynomials, which are then necessarily of smaller degree. By induction on the degree we see that each primitive polynomial is a product of irreducible primitive polynomials. Thus, every polynomial is a product of irreducible ones, since splitting off a greatest common divisor g of its coefficients gives a primitive one and g factorises since R is factorial. – It then only remains to show that each irreducible polynomial in R[x] is prime. – In the literature it is more common to call the statement "R UFD implies $c(f \cdot g) = c(f) \cdot c(g)$ " the *Lemma of Gauß*.