

## 10 The exterior algebra

Throughout this section let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  always be a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity element, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.

**10.1 Definition.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module. A  $p$ -linear map  $\varphi : M^p \rightarrow N$  is called *alternating*, if for all  $(m_1, \dots, m_p) \in M^p$  the following implication holds:

If there exist  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $m_i = m_j$  holds, then  $\varphi(m_1, \dots, m_p) = 0$ .

**10.2 Notation.** We define the  $R$ -module of alternating  $p$ -linear maps by

$$\text{Alt}_R^p(M, N) := \{\varphi : M^p \rightarrow N : \varphi \text{ alternating}\}.$$

**10.3 Example.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot) = (K, +, \cdot)$  be a field, and let  $M = K^n$ . As vector spaces over  $K$ , we identify  $M^n \cong \text{Mat}(n, n, K)$ . Then the determinant map  $\det : \text{Mat}_K(n, n) \rightarrow K$  is alternating.

**10.4 Definition.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  we define a submodule of  $\bigotimes^p M$  by

$$N^p(M) := \text{span}_R\{m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_p \in \bigotimes^p M : \exists i \neq j \text{ s.t. } m_i = m_j\}.$$

The  $R$ -module quotient

$$\bigwedge^p M := \bigotimes^p M / N^p(M)$$

is called the  $p$ -th exterior power of  $M$ , or the  $p$ -th alternating power of  $M$ . For equivalence classes, we use the notation

$$m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p := [m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_p] \in \bigwedge^p M.$$

**10.5 Remark.** The composed map  $\tau^a$ , defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\tau^a} & & \\ M^p & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \bigotimes^p M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \bigwedge^p M \end{array}$$

is  $p$ -linear and alternating. Indeed, for an element  $(m_1, \dots, m_p) \in M^p$  with  $m_i = m_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , we have  $\tau(m_1, \dots, m_p) \in N^p(M)$ , so that  $\pi \circ \tau(m_1, \dots, m_p) = 0 \in \bigwedge^p M$ .

**10.6 Remark.** As a quotient of the  $p$ -fold tensor product, the alternating product inherits rules for computation analogous to those listed in ???. In the case  $p = 2$ , we have for all  $m, m', m'' \in M$  and  $r \in R$  the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & (rm) \wedge m' = m \wedge (rm') \\ (2) \quad & (m + m') \wedge m'' = m \wedge m'' + m' \wedge m'' \\ (3) \quad & m \wedge (m' + m'') = m \wedge m' + m \wedge m'' \\ (4) \quad & m \wedge 0 = 0 \\ (5) \quad & 0 \wedge m = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous formulae hold for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . We have furthermore

$$(6) \quad m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p = 0 \quad \text{if } m_i = m_j \text{ for some } 1 \leq i, j \leq p \text{ with } i \neq j.$$

**10.7 Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. The  $p$ -th exterior power of  $M$  is up to isomorphism uniquely determined by the following universal property.*

*For any  $R$ -module  $Z$ , and any alternating  $p$ -linear map  $\varphi : M^p \rightarrow Z$ , there exists a unique  $p$ -linear map  $\hat{\varphi}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^p & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z \\ \tau^a \downarrow & \nearrow \hat{\varphi} & \\ \bigwedge^p M & & \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

*Proof.* Follows from the universal property of the tensor product.  $\square$

**10.8 Remark.** As before, the universal property of the  $p$ -th exterior power implies the existence of a covariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^p : (R\text{-Mod}) &\rightarrow (R\text{-Mod}) \\ M &\mapsto \bigwedge^p M \\ M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' &\mapsto \bigwedge^p M \xrightarrow{\bigwedge^p \alpha} \bigwedge^p M' \end{aligned}$$

such that the equality  $\bigwedge^p \alpha(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p) = \alpha(m_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha(m_p)$  holds for all  $(m_1, \dots, m_p) \in M^p$ .

Indeed, for any homomorphism  $\alpha : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  of  $R$ -modules, the composed map  $\tau_2^a \circ (\alpha \times \dots \times \alpha) : M_1^p \rightarrow M_2^p \rightarrow \bigwedge^p M_2$  is alternating. The map  $\bigwedge^p \alpha : \bigwedge^p M_1 \rightarrow \bigwedge^p M_2$  is defined as the unique  $R$ -linear map satisfying  $\bigwedge^p \alpha \circ \tau_1^a = \tau_2^a \circ (\alpha \times \dots \times \alpha)$  given by the universal property.

**10.9 Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n < \infty$ . Then*

$$\bigwedge^p M = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } p > n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $M$ . Then  $\bigotimes^p M = \text{span}_R\{e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_p} : 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_p \leq n\}$ . By its construction as a quotient,

$$\bigwedge^p M = \text{span}_R\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} : 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_p \leq n\}.$$

If  $p > n$ , then for any  $p$ -tuple  $(i_1, \dots, i_p)$ , there exists at least one pair of indices  $1 \leq j, k \leq p$  with  $j \neq k$  but  $i_j = i_k$ . Thus  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} = 0$ .  $\square$

**10.10 Remark.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Recall that the group of permutations  $(\Sigma_p, \circ)$  is given by the set  $\Sigma_p$  of bijective maps from  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  to itself, together with the composition “ $\circ$ ” of maps. For a permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ , the composed map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M^p & \rightarrow & M^p & \rightarrow & \bigotimes^p M \\ (m_1, \dots, m_p) & \mapsto & (m_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(p)}) & \mapsto & m_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\sigma(p)} \end{array}$$

is  $p$ -linear. Thus, by the universal property of the tensor product, it defines a unique  $R$ -linear map  $\bigotimes^p M \rightarrow \bigotimes^p M$  which shall also be denoted by  $\sigma$ , by abuse of notation.

Obviously, for this map holds  $\sigma(N^p(M)) \subseteq N^p(M)$ . By the universal property of the quotient  $\bigwedge^p M = \bigotimes^p M / N^p(M)$  there exists a unique  $R$ -linear map  $\bar{\sigma}$ , which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigotimes^p M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \bigotimes^p M \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \bigwedge^p M & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & \bigwedge^p M \end{array}$$

It is customary to denote the unique homomorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  again by  $\sigma$ . By construction, it is given on generating elements by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma : \bigwedge^p M & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^p M \\ m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p & \mapsto & m_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge m_{\sigma(p)} \end{array}$$

**10.11 Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . Then for all  $a \in \bigwedge^p M$  and all  $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$  holds*

$$\sigma(a) = \text{sign}(\sigma) a.$$

*Proof.* Since the map  $\sigma : \bigwedge^p M \rightarrow \bigwedge^p M$  is  $R$ -linear, it is enough to prove the formula on generating elements  $a = m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p \in \bigwedge^p M$ , where  $m_1, \dots, m_p \in M$ .

Consider a representative  $t = m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_p \in \bigotimes^p M$ , so that  $\pi(t) = a$ . It is enough to show  $n := \sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma)t \in N^p(M)$ . To do this, we write  $\sigma = \tau_1 \circ \dots \circ \tau_k$ , where  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$  are transpositions. Note that  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = (-1)^k$ .

We will prove the claim by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$ , let  $\sigma = \tau$  be the transposition interchanging the indices  $i$  and  $j$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} n &= m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_j \otimes \dots \otimes m_i \otimes \dots \otimes m_p - (-1)m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_p \\ &= m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (m_i + m_j) \otimes \dots \otimes (m_i + m_j) \otimes \dots \otimes m_p \\ &\quad - m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_i \otimes \dots \otimes m_i \otimes \dots \otimes m_p \\ &\quad - m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_j \otimes \dots \otimes m_j \otimes \dots \otimes m_p \\ &\in N^p(M). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $k \geq 2$ , and assume that the formula holds up to  $k - 1$ . We have  $\sigma = \tau_1 \circ \sigma'$ , where  $\sigma' = \tau_2 \circ \dots \circ \tau_k$ . By assumption, we already have  $\sigma'(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma')t \in N^p(M)$ . Then clearly also  $n' := \tau_1(\sigma'(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma')t) \in N^p(M)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} n' &= \sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma')\tau_1(t) \in N^p(M), \quad \text{and} \\ n_1 &:= \tau_1(t) - \text{sign}(\tau_1)t \in N^p(M) \quad \text{by step 1.} \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma)(t) &= \sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma')\text{sign}(\tau_1)t \\ &= \sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma')(\tau_1(t) - n_1) \\ &= \sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma')\tau_1(t) + \text{sign}(\sigma')n_1 \\ &= n' + \text{sign}(\sigma')n_1 \\ &\in N^p(M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sigma(a) - \text{sign}(\sigma)a = \pi(\sigma(t) - \text{sign}(\sigma)(t)) = 0$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**10.12 Lemma.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n < \infty$  with basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Then there exists a unique alternating  $p$ -linear map*

$$\det : M^n \rightarrow R$$

*called the determinant map, such that  $\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* By construction, the  $n$ -th alternating product is given as

$$\bigwedge^n M = \text{span}_R\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_n} : 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq n\}.$$

If  $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we must have  $i_j = i_k$  for some  $j \neq k$ , so that  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_n} = 0$ . We may hence assume that all  $n$  indices of the generating elements are pairwise different, and all numbers  $1, \dots, n$  occur as indices. Reordering of the indices changes the element only by a sign  $\pm 1_R$ , so we get

$$\bigwedge^n M = \text{span}_R\{e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n\} = R \cdot e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n.$$

Consider the coordinate map

$$\begin{aligned} j : \quad \bigwedge^n M &\rightarrow R \\ r \cdot e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n &\mapsto r \end{aligned}$$

By composition with the map  $\tau^a : M^n \rightarrow \bigwedge^n M$ , we define  $\det := j \circ \tau^a$ . Clearly, this is  $p$ -linear and alternating, and it satisfies  $\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$ .

To prove uniqueness, consider another alternating  $p$ -linear map  $d : M^n \rightarrow R$  with  $d(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$ . By the universal property of the alternating product, there is a unique  $R$ -linear map  $\hat{d} : \bigwedge^n M \rightarrow R$  such that  $d = \hat{d} \circ \tau^a$ .

Let  $a \in \bigwedge^n M$ . Then there exists an  $r \in R$  such that  $a = r \cdot e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}(a) &= r \cdot \hat{d}(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = r \cdot d(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ &= r \cdot 1_R \\ &= r \cdot \det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = r \cdot j(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = j(a) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\hat{d} = j$ , and thus  $d = \tau^a \circ \hat{d} = \tau^a \circ j = \det$ .  $\square$

**10.13 Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n < \infty$  with basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . Then the  $p$ -th exterior power  $\bigwedge^p M$  is a free  $R$ -module with basis  $(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p})_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n}$ . In particular, for its rank holds*

$$\text{rank}(\bigwedge^p M) = \binom{n}{p}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}\}_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_p \leq n}$  is a generating system of  $\bigwedge^p M$ . By proposition 10.11, we may assume that the indices are ordered as  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p \leq n$ . We may furthermore confine ourselves to strict inequalities, since otherwise  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} = 0$ .

It remains to prove the  $R$ -linear independence of the generating family. This needs some preparation.

We denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the set of all tuples  $I := (i_1, \dots, i_p)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$ . For  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we define the projection map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_I : \quad M &\rightarrow R^p \\ m = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_i &\mapsto (r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_p}) \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly  $R$ -linear. Consider the unique  $p$ -linear determinant map  $\det : (R^p)^p \rightarrow R$  from lemma 10.12 with respect to the standard basis  $\{s_i\}_{i=1, \dots, p}$  of  $R^p$ . Its composition with the  $p$ -fold direct product of  $\pi_I$  defines an alternating  $p$ -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_I : \quad M^p &\rightarrow R \\ (m_1, \dots, m_p) &\mapsto \det(\pi_I(m_1), \dots, \pi_I(m_p)) \end{aligned}$$

The universal property of the alternating power gives a unique  $R$ -linear map  $\hat{\varphi}_I : \bigwedge^p M \rightarrow R$  such that for all generating elements  $m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p \in \bigwedge^p M$  holds  $\hat{\varphi}_I(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p) = \det(\pi_I(m_1), \dots, \pi_I(m_p))$ .

Consider another tuple  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ . For  $J = I$  we compute

$$\hat{\varphi}_I(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p}) = \det(\pi_I(e_{i_1}), \dots, \pi_I(e_{i_p})) = \det(s_1, \dots, s_p) = 1_R.$$

However, if  $I \neq J$  there must exist some  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  with  $j_\ell \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ . Hence  $\pi_I(e_{j_\ell}) = 0_R$ . Thus

$$\hat{\varphi}_I(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p}) = \det(\pi_I(e_{j_1}), \dots, \pi_I(e_{j_p})) = 0_R.$$

Consider now an  $R$ -linear combination  $a = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \mathcal{I}} r^{j_1, \dots, j_p} e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p} \in \bigwedge^p M$  with all  $r^{j_1, \dots, j_p} \in R$ , and suppose  $a = 0$ . By the properties of the  $R$ -linear map, we compute

$$0_R = \hat{\varphi}_I(a) = r^{i_1, \dots, i_p}$$

for all  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathcal{I}$ . □

**10.14 Exercise.** Let  $M$  be a vector space over a field  $K$ . Let  $m_1, \dots, m_p \in M$ . Then  $m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p \neq 0$  if and only if  $m_1, \dots, m_p$  are  $K$ -linearly independent.

**10.15 Notation.** Let  $M$  be a module over a commutative ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  with multiplicative unit. We define

$$\bigwedge M := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge^p M$$

as an  $R$ -module, where  $\bigwedge^0 M := R$  and  $\bigwedge^1 M := M$ . By taking direct sums, there is a canonical  $R$ -linear map

$$\pi^a : \bigotimes M \rightarrow \bigwedge M.$$

**10.16 Proposition.** *There exists a unique  $R$ -algebra structure  $(\bigwedge M, +, \cdot, \wedge)$ , with respect to which  $\pi^a$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* By construction,  $\pi^a$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. It is surjective, so for any  $a, a' \in \bigwedge M$ , there exist elements  $t, t' \in \bigotimes M$ , such that  $\pi^a(t) = a$  and  $\pi^a(t') = a'$ . We then define

$$a \wedge a' := \pi(t \otimes t').$$

By a straightforward computation, one verifies that this gives a well-defined bilinear map, which is unique.  $\square$

**10.17 Remark.** In particular, proposition 10.16 implies that there is a unique well-defined bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge : \bigwedge^p M \times \bigwedge^q M &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{p+q} M \\ (a_1, a_2) &\mapsto a_1 \wedge a_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $t_1 \in \bigotimes^p M$  and  $t_2 \in \bigotimes^q M$  holds

$$\pi^a(t_1) \wedge \pi^a(t_2) = \pi^a(t_1 \otimes t_2).$$

**10.18 Definition.** Let  $M$  be a module over a commutative ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  with multiplicative unit. Then  $(M, +, \cdot, \wedge)$  is called the *exterior algebra* of  $M$ .

**10.19 Remark.** a) The exterior algebra  $(M, +, \cdot, \wedge)$  is an associative algebra with multiplicative unit  $1_R \in \bigwedge M$ . In general, it is not commutative.

b) As before, there is a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge : (R\text{-Mod}) &\rightarrow (R\text{-Alg}) \\ M &\mapsto \bigwedge M \\ \varphi &\mapsto \wedge \varphi \end{aligned}$$

**10.20 Lemma.** Let  $a_1 \in \bigwedge^p M$  and  $a_2 \in \bigwedge^q M$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following formula holds:

$$a_2 \wedge a_1 = (-1)^{pq} a_1 \wedge a_2.$$

*Proof.* By linearity, it is enough to prove the claim on decomposable elements. Let  $a_1 = m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p$  and  $a_2 = m_{p+1} \wedge \dots \wedge m_{p+q}$ , with  $m_1, \dots, m_{p+q} \in M$ . Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{p+q}$  be the permutation mapping the tuple  $(1, \dots, p+q)$  to  $(p+1, \dots, p+q, 1, \dots, p)$ . One easily verifies  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = (-1)^{pq}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 \wedge a_1 &= m_{p+1} \wedge \dots \wedge m_{p+q} \wedge m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p \\ &= \sigma(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_{p+q}) \\ &= \text{sign}(\sigma) \cdot m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_{p+q} \\ &= (-1)^{pq} a_1 \wedge a_2 \end{aligned}$$

by proposition 10.11. □

**10.21 Example.** Let  $R = \mathbb{R}$  and  $M := \mathbb{R}^3$ , with standard basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ . Then we find

$$\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

For the element  $a := e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3$  one computes  $a \wedge a = -2e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \neq 0$ .

**10.22 Example.** Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module with a finite basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  be an  $R$ -linear map, which is given with respect to the chosen basis by a matrix

$$A_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . For an element  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$  of the induced basis of  $\bigwedge^p M$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^p \varphi(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}) &= \varphi(e_{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi(e_{i_p}) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p=1}^n a_{i_1, j_1} e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{i_p, j_p} e_{j_p} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{i_1, j_{\sigma(1)}} \dots a_{i_p, j_{\sigma(p)}} e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n} \det(A_{j_1, \dots, j_p}^{i_1, \dots, i_p}). \end{aligned}$$



In particular, for  $p = n = \text{rang}(M)$  we find on the generating element

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^n \varphi : \quad \wedge^n M &\rightarrow \wedge^n M \\ e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n &\mapsto \det(A) \cdot e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n \end{aligned}$$

For example, let  $n = 2$ , and  $A_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then one computes

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^2 \varphi(e_1, e_2) &= \varphi(e_1) \wedge \varphi(e_2) = (ae_1 + ce_2) \wedge (be_1 + de_2) \\ &= abe_1 \wedge e_1 + ade_1 \wedge e_2 + cbe_2 \wedge e_1 + cde_2 \wedge e_2 \\ &= (ad - bc)e_1 \wedge e_2. \end{aligned}$$

**10.23 Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n < \infty$ . Then  $\bigwedge M$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank*

$$\text{rank}(\bigwedge M) = 2^n.$$

*Proof.* By proposition 10.13, we have  $\text{rank}(\bigwedge^p M) = \binom{n}{p}$  for  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , and  $\bigwedge^p M = \{0\}$  for  $p > n$  by proposition 10.9. We thus compute

$$\text{rank}(\bigwedge M) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{p} 1^p 1^{n-p} = (1 + 1)^n = 2^n$$

using the binomial formula. □