## WPS 09: MOLD algorithm & standard Young projectors

June  $29^{\text{th}}, 2018$ 

J. Alcock-Zeilinger

## Exercise 1.

According to the MOLD algorithm discussed in class, the Hermitian Young projection operator  $P_{\Theta}$  corresponding to a Young tableau  $\Theta \in \mathcal{Y}_n$  is given by

$$P_{\Theta} \propto \mathbf{I}_{\Theta(\mathcal{M}(\Theta))} \ \mathbf{B}_{\Theta(\mathcal{M}(\Theta)-1)} \ \dots \ \begin{cases} \mathbf{B}_{\Theta(1)} & \mathbf{I}_{\Theta} & \mathbf{B}_{\Theta} & \mathbf{I}_{\Theta} & \mathbf{B}_{\Theta(1)} \\ \mathbf{I}_{\Theta(1)} & \mathbf{B}_{\Theta} & \mathbf{I}_{\Theta} & \mathbf{B}_{\Theta} & \mathbf{I}_{\Theta(1)} \end{cases} \ \dots \ \mathbf{B}_{\Theta(\mathcal{M}(\Theta)-1)} \ \mathbf{I}_{\Theta(\mathcal{M}(\Theta))} \ , \tag{1}$$

where the top row applies if the MOLD  $\mathcal{M}(\Theta)$  is even and the bottom row applies if  $\mathcal{M}(\Theta)$  is odd, and

Using eq. (1), construct the MOLD projection operators corresponding to the Young tableaux in  $\mathcal{Y}_5$  (there should be 26 such operators). For the purpose of this exercise, ignore the correct normalization constant and focus on the birdtrack part only.

## Exercise 2.

Note that even though the MOLD algorithm yields much compacter birdtrack expressions for the Hermitian Young projection operators than the KS algorithm, there are still some instances where the MOLD projector can be simplified quite a lot using the propagation and cancellation rules discussed in class. An example of this would be

Can you think of some criteria that the tableau  $\Theta$  needs to fulfill for the MOLD algorithm to yield the most compact form of  $P_{\Theta}$ ?

## Exercise 3.

In class, we proved that the Hermitian Young projection operators (both the KS operators and the MOLD operators) satisfy the nestedness property

$$P_{\Theta_{(m)}}P_{\Theta} = P_{\Theta} = P_{\Theta}P_{\Theta_{(m)}} , \qquad (4)$$

where  $\Theta_{(m)}$  denotes the ancestor tableau of  $\Theta$  m generations back. You will now prove that eq. (4) does not hold for the standard Young projection operators  $Y_{\Theta}$ :

- 1. Show that  $Y_{\Theta}Y_{\Theta_{(m)}} = Y_{\Theta}$  does not hold in general by finding a counter example. Similarlyl, foind an example for which  $Y_{\Theta_{(m)}}Y_{\Theta} = Y_{\Theta}$  does not hold. [*Hint:* Since the reason why the nestedness property (4) does not hold for standard Young projectors is, essentially, their lack of Hermiticity, you should try to find an example in which both  $Y_{\Theta}$  and  $Y_{\Theta_{(m)}}$  are not Hermitian.]
- 2. Even though you have just shown that  $Y_{\Theta}Y_{\Theta_{(m)}} \neq Y_{\Theta}$  and/or  $Y_{\Theta_{(m)}}Y_{\Theta} \neq Y_{\Theta}$  for a general Young projection operator  $Y_{\Theta}$ , one might still hope that  $Y_{\Theta}$  at least commutes with its ancestor,

$$\left[Y_{\Theta}, Y_{\Theta_{(m)}}\right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \ . \tag{5}$$

However, also eq. (5) breaks down for a general Young projection operator:

(a) Assume that (5) holds for a general Young projection operator  $Y_{\Theta}$  while

$$Y_{\Theta}Y_{\Theta_{(m)}} \neq Y_{\Theta} \quad \text{and/or} \quad Y_{\Theta_{(m)}}Y_{\Theta} \neq Y_{\Theta} .$$
 (6)

- (b) Reformulate eq. (5) to  $Y_{\Theta}Y_{\Theta_{(m)}} = Y_{\Theta_{(m)}}Y_{\Theta}$  and multiply this equation on the right (or left) with  $Y_{\Theta}$ .
- (c) Use the cancellation rules discuss in class to arrive at a contradiction.