

## Sheet 6

3. June 2022

## Quantum Hypothesis Testing

### Exercise 1: Optimality under noise

Let  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  be density operators on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  with associated a priori probabilities  $p_x = 1/n$  for every  $x = 1, \dots, n$  and  $M = (M_1, \dots, M_n)$  a corresponding optimal measurement. Show that, for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , a modified POVM  $M'$  is still optimal if we replace  $\rho_x$  by  $\lambda\rho_x + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{1}/d$  with the same a priori probabilities.

### Exercise 2: Distinguishing quantum states

Here we investigate the use of quantum hypothesis testing for distinguishing quantum states.

1. Given the two states  $|0\rangle$  and  $|+\rangle$ , what is the optimal measurement for distinguishing them? Compute the corresponding probability.
2. Now change the second state by  $p|0\rangle\langle 0| + (1-p)|1\rangle\langle 1|$  with  $p \in (0, 1)$ . How does the probability depend on  $p$ ?
3. In 1., consider now several copies of the states, i.e.  $|0000\rangle$  and  $|++++\rangle$ , for instance. How does the probability of discriminating the states successfully increase with the number of copies?

### Exercise 3: Fidelity

The fidelity of two mixed states  $\rho_A, \sigma_A \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  can be defined by means of their purifications, namely

$$F(\rho_A, \sigma_A) := \max_{|\psi\rangle_{AB}, |\phi\rangle_{AB}} |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle_{AB}|^2,$$

where  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  and  $|\phi\rangle_{AB}$  are purifications of  $\rho_A$  and  $\sigma_A$ , respectively. Show that the following properties hold:

1.  $F(\rho_A, \sigma_A) = 1$  if, and only if,  $\rho_A = \sigma_A$ .
2.  $F(\rho_A, \sigma_A) = 0$  if, and only if,  $\rho_A\sigma_A = \sigma_A\rho_A = 0$ .
3. For any density operator  $\tau \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_C)$ , we have  $F(\rho_A \otimes \tau, \sigma_A \otimes \tau) = F(\rho_A, \sigma_A)$ .

## Exercise 4: Chernoff distance and fidelity

Let  $\rho, \sigma$  be two density matrices and denote  $Q(s) := \text{tr}[\rho^s \sigma^{1-s}]$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$ , which defines the Chernoff distance by taking

$$- \inf_{s \in [0,1]} \log(Q(s)).$$

1. Assuming that  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are pure states, what is the relation between  $Q(s)$  and the fidelity  $F(\rho, \sigma)$ ? Remember that, in general,

$$F(\rho, \sigma) := \left( \text{tr} \left[ (\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{1/2})^{1/2} \right] \right)^2.$$

2. Show that

$$\inf_{s \in [0,1]} Q(s) \leq F(\rho, \sigma)^{1/2}.$$

3. Show that for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$F(\rho, \sigma) \leq Q(s).$$

*Hint: It might help you to write*

$$\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{1/2} = \rho^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \left( \rho^{\frac{s}{2}} \sigma \rho^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \right) \sigma^{\frac{s}{2}}.$$

*and use that  $\|AB\|_r \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_q$  with  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ .*