## MATHEMATICAL STATISTICAL PHYSICS: ASSIGNMENT 7

Problem 32: Gibbs entropy (hand in, 30 points)

Compute the same function S(E, V, N) as in Problem 28 in a different way using equivalence of ensembles, the Gibbs formula

$$S(\text{eq}) = -k \int_{\Gamma} dx \,\rho(x) \,\log \rho(x) \,,$$

and the canonical density  $\rho(x) = Z^{-1}e^{-\beta H(x)}$ . As in Problem 28, give only the leading order terms.

**Instructions.** In order to find the correct N dependence, use an N-dependent  $\Lambda_N$  with volume  $V_N = Nv$  (and v = const.) and either  $\Gamma = {}^N\Gamma_1$  or the volume measure dx/N! on  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1^N$  (both of which lead to a further factor  $N!^{-1}$  in Z).

## Problem 33: Entropy of mixing (hand in, 35 points)

We consider two ideal mono-atomic gases A, B of different substances in separate containers  $\Lambda_A \cap \Lambda_B = \emptyset$ , each in thermal equilibrium by itself with parameters  $N_i, E_i, V_i = \text{vol}(\Lambda_i)$ for i = A, B. We assume that they have the same particle number density  $n = N_i/V_i$  and the same temperature or, equivalently, the same energy per particle  $\overline{e} = E_i/N_i$ . Now we remove the wall between the containers, thereby allowing the gases to spread in the entire volume  $\Lambda = \Lambda_A \cup \Lambda_B$  and reach a new thermal equilibrium.

(a) Show that the total entropy increases by

$$\Delta S = S_{\text{after}} - S_{\text{before}} = -kN \bigg[ \alpha \log \alpha + (1-\alpha) \log(1-\alpha) \bigg] + o(N)$$

with  $\alpha = N_A/N = V_A/V$  and  $V = V_A + V_B$ . This expression is called the *entropy of* mixing. (Use the result of Problem 32 or Problem 28 of Assignment 6.)

(b) Neglecting the o(N) term, show that  $\Delta S > 0$  for all  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

(c) Now let us change the setup and let A, B be *identical* gases. Then the macro state should not change by removing the wall, so the entropy should not increase. Let us verify that. For simplicity, let us ignore velocities and consider only the configuration space  $Q = {}^{N}\Lambda$ ; we replace the energy surface by the *accessible set*  $M \subset Q$ . Specify the sets  $M_{\text{before}}$  and  $M_{\text{after}}$  and determine log vol  $M_{\text{before}}$  and log vol  $M_{\text{after}}$  to leading order in N while assuming  $V_i = N_i v$  with constant v = 1/n and constant  $\alpha$ .

## **Problem 34:** Entropy increase in finite sets (hand in, 35 points)

(a) Assume that the finite set M (playing the role of an energy shell  $\Gamma_{\rm mc}$ ) has  $10^{100} - 1$  elements and is partitioned in  $\Gamma_{\nu}$ ,  $\nu = 1, \ldots, 100$ , with  $\#\Gamma_{\nu} = 0.9 \times 10^{\nu}$ . The "trajectory"  $(x(0), x(1), x(2), \ldots)$  starts at a random element x(0) = x of  $\Gamma_{50}$ . If the discrete time evolution T is a random bijection  $M \to M$ , what is the most probable history  $t \mapsto S(x(t))$  in the first 1000 time steps? What if T is ergodic? (Here, we do not aim at rigor of proof; plausible arguments suffice.)

(b) The behavior of part (a) does not occur in reality because some  $\Gamma_{\nu}$  do not even border on other  $\Gamma_{\nu'}$ . To improve our simple model, let us now demand of  $T: M \to M$  that  $x \in \Gamma_{\nu}$ can only jump to an element of  $\Gamma_{\nu-1} \cup \Gamma_{\nu} \cup \Gamma_{\nu+1}$  (of  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  for  $x \in \Gamma_1$ , and of  $\Gamma_{99} \cup \Gamma_{100}$ for  $x \in \Gamma_{100}$ ). Then what is the most probable history  $t \mapsto S(x(t))$  in the first 1000 time steps?

(c) An entropy valley is a time interval  $[t_1, t_2]$  (with  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) such that  $S(t_1 - 1) > S(t)$  for all  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$  and  $S(t_1 - 1) \leq S(t_2 + 1)$ ; its duration is  $t_2 + 1 - t_1$  and its depth  $\max\{S(t_1 - 1) - S(t) : t \in [t_1, t_2]\}$ . What can you say about the frequency, depth, and duration of entropy valleys in the models of part (a) and part (b)? What if T must be ergodic?

**Problem 35:** Refined model (computer problem, optional extra credit 50 points) A main reason why some macro sets in phase space do not border on others lies in *local* conservation laws: energy, momentum, or particle number in a region  $\Delta \subset \Lambda$  can only change by transport across  $\partial \Delta$ . If, in thermal equilibrium, energy and particle number are uniformly distributed throughout the volume  $\Lambda$ , then many non-equilibrium states cannot pass directly into equilibrium because the transport requires time and intermediate macro states. We thus consider the following, more realistic variant of the finite model.

Of 100 identical particles, each one can assume one of  $10^{10}$  different micro states; so,  $M = {}^{N}\Gamma_{1}$  with N = 100 and  $\#\Gamma_{1} = 10^{10}$ . We ignore energy; let  $\Gamma_{1}$  be divided in 10 cells  $A_{i}$  of equal size, and let T be purely random subject to the constraint that only one particle moves in every time step, and that it can only move from  $A_{i}$  to  $A_{i-1} \cup A_{i} \cup A_{i+1}$ . Let the macro variables be  $N_{i} = \#\{j = 1, \ldots, N : x_{j} \in A_{i}\}$  (without any coarse graining such as rounding). We start at a random x with  $N_{1} = N$ ,  $N_{2} = \ldots = N_{10} = 0$ .

(a) Determine approximately the probabilities of a transition from one macro set to another. What changes if T is required to be ergodic?

(b) Create a numerical simulation of the history  $t \mapsto S(x(t))$  in the first 1000 time steps. Use a programming language or math software of your choice.

**Remark.** Our definition of "macro set" requires rounding. As a consequence of not rounding the  $N_i$  in this simulation, the largest macro set is not dominant and entropy fluctuates more than usually, although the upwards trend is still visible.

Hand in: By 23:59 on Monday June 23, 2025 via urm.math.uni-tuebingen.de