# FOUNDATIONS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS: ASSIGNMENT 6

### Exercise 22: Essay question. (20 points)

Describe what the Heisenberg uncertainty relation asserts.

## Exercise 23: Pauli matrices (30 points)

The three Pauli matrices are

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

- (a) For each of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , find an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .
- (b) Show that for every unit vector  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , the Pauli matrix in direction  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} := \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = n_1 \sigma_1 + n_2 \sigma_2 + n_3 \sigma_3$ , has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . (Hint: compute det and trace.)
- (c) Show that every self-adjoint complex  $2 \times 2$  matrix A is of the form  $A = cI + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

### Exercise 24: Spinors (25 points)

Verify that  $|\omega(\phi)| = ||\phi||_S^2 = \phi^* \phi$ . Proceed as follows: By (2.108),  $\omega(z\phi) = |z|^2 \omega(\phi)$ , it suffices to show that unit spinors are associated with unit vectors. By (2.108) again, it suffices to consider  $\phi$  with  $\phi_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  (else replace  $\phi$  by  $e^{i\theta}\phi$  with appropriate  $\theta$ ). So we can assume, without loss of generality,  $\phi = (\cos \alpha, e^{i\beta} \sin \alpha)$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Evaluate  $\phi^* \sigma \phi$  explicitly in terms of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , using the explicit formulas (2.105) for  $\sigma$ . Then check that it is a unit vector.

## Exercise 25: Angles in Hilbert space (25 points)

In my book, I said that the angle  $\theta$  between two vectors  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$  in Hilbert space is  $\theta = \arccos \frac{|\langle \phi | \chi \rangle|}{\|\phi\| \|\chi\|}$ . I take it back. In this exercise, we look at the reasons why the natural definition for  $\theta$  actually is

$$\theta = \arccos \frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle \phi | \chi \rangle}{\|\phi\| \|\chi\|}, \tag{2}$$

while the one for the angle  $\alpha$  between the 1d subspaces  $\mathbb{C}\phi$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\chi$  is

$$\alpha = \arccos \frac{|\langle \phi | \chi \rangle|}{\|\phi\| \|\chi\|}. \tag{3}$$

(a) For the most natural identification mapping  $J: \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  given by  $J(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d) = (\operatorname{Re} \phi_1, \operatorname{Im} \phi_1, \dots, \operatorname{Re} \phi_d, \operatorname{Im} \phi_d)$ , show that

$$\langle J\phi|J\chi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} = \operatorname{Re}\langle\phi|\chi\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \quad \text{and} \quad ||J\phi||_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} = ||\phi||_{\mathbb{C}^d},$$
 (4)

where  $\langle x|y\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$  and  $\langle \phi|\chi\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} = \sum_{j=1}^d \phi_j^* \chi_j$ , and the norms are accordingly defined.

(b) Explain by means of an example in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  why the intuitive notion of the angle  $\beta$  between two subspaces U, V of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\beta = \inf_{u \in U \setminus \{0\}} \inf_{v \in V \setminus \{0\}} \theta(u, v), \qquad (5)$$

where  $\theta(u, v)$  means the angle between u and v. We adopt the same definition in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ .

(c) Show that for  $\phi, \chi \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\inf_{u \in \mathbb{C}\phi \setminus \{0\}} \inf_{v \in \mathbb{C}\chi \setminus \{0\}} \arccos \frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle u|v\rangle}{\|u\| \|v\|} = \arccos \frac{|\langle \phi|\chi\rangle|}{\|\phi\| \|\chi\|}.$$
 (6)

Hand in: By Saturday November 22, 2025, at noon via urm.math.uni-tuebingen.de

No reading assignment this week.