



PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Thomas Markwig

`keilen@mathematik.uni-kl.de`

Technical University of Kaiserslautern

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle.$$

$$r \text{ associated to } r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists u \in R^* : r = r' \cdot u$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle.$$

$$r \text{ associated to } r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists u \in R^* : r = r' \cdot u \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle = \langle r \rangle.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle.$$

$$r \text{ associated to } r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists u \in R^* : r = r' \cdot u \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle = \langle r \rangle.$$

$$0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \text{ is irreducible} \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad (r = s \cdot t \Rightarrow s \in R^* \text{ or } t \in R^*).$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle.$$

$$r \text{ associated to } r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists u \in R^* : r = r' \cdot u \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle = \langle r \rangle.$$

$$0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \text{ is irreducible} \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad (r = s \cdot t \Rightarrow s \in R^* \text{ or } t \in R^*).$$

$$0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \text{ is prime} \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad (r \mid s \cdot t \Rightarrow r \mid s \text{ or } r \mid t)$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.23 Definition

Let R be an ID, $r, r' \in R$.

$$r \mid r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists t \in R : r' = r \cdot t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle \subseteq \langle r \rangle.$$

$$r \text{ associated to } r' \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \exists u \in R^* : r = r' \cdot u \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r' \rangle = \langle r \rangle.$$

$$0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \text{ is irreducible} \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad (r = s \cdot t \Rightarrow s \in R^* \text{ or } t \in R^*).$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \text{ is prime} \quad &:\Leftrightarrow \quad (r \mid s \cdot t \Rightarrow r \mid s \text{ or } r \mid t) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad \langle r \rangle \text{ is a prime ideal.} \end{aligned}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.24 Example

a. r prime $\implies r$ irreducible.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.24 Example

a. r prime $\implies r$ irreducible.

b. r & s irreducible, $r \mid s \implies \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.24 Example

a. r prime $\implies r$ irreducible.

b. r & s irreducible, $r \mid s \implies \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$.

c. $R = \mathbb{Z}$: p irreducible $\iff p$ prime $\iff p$ prime number.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.24 Example

a. r prime $\implies r$ irreducible.

b. r & s irreducible, $r \mid s \implies \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$.

c. $R = \mathbb{Z}$: p irreducible $\iff p$ prime $\iff p$ prime number.

d. $R = K[x]$: f irreducible $\iff f$ prime.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.24 Example

a. r prime $\implies r$ irreducible.

b. r & s irreducible, $r \mid s \implies \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$.

c. $R = \mathbb{Z}$: p irreducible $\Leftrightarrow p$ prime $\Leftrightarrow p$ prime number.

d. $R = K[x]$: f irreducible $\Leftrightarrow f$ prime.

e. $R = K[[x]]$: p irreducible $\Leftrightarrow p$ prime $\Leftrightarrow p = unit \cdot x$
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{ord}(p) = 1$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.25 Definition

Let R be an ID.

a. R is **Euclidean** $:\iff \exists \nu : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.25 Definition

Let R be an ID.

a. R is **Euclidean** $:\iff \exists \nu : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall a, b \in R \setminus \{0\} \exists q, r \in R : a = q \cdot b + r$$

with $r = 0$ or $0 \leq \nu(r) < \nu(b)$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.25 Definition

Let R be an ID.

a. R is **Euclidean** $:\iff \exists \nu : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall a, b \in R \setminus \{0\} \exists q, r \in R : a = q \cdot b + r$$

with $r = 0$ or $0 \leq \nu(r) < \nu(b)$.

Call this decomposition a **division with remainder (DwR)**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.25 Definition

Let R be an ID.

a. R is **Euclidean** $:\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall a, b \in R \setminus \{0\} \exists q, r \in R : a = q \cdot b + r$$

with $r = 0$ or $0 \leq \nu(r) < \nu(b)$.

Call this decomposition a **division with remainder (DwR)**.

b. R is a **PID (principle ideal domain)** $:\Leftrightarrow$ all ideals are principle.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.25 Definition

Let R be an ID.

a. R is **Euclidean** $:\Leftrightarrow \exists \nu : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall a, b \in R \setminus \{0\} \exists q, r \in R : a = q \cdot b + r$$

with $r = 0$ or $0 \leq \nu(r) < \nu(b)$.

Call this decomposition a **division with remainder (DwR)**.

b. R is a **PID (principle ideal domain)** $:\Leftrightarrow$ all ideals are principle.

c. R is a **UFD (unique factorisation domain)** $:\Leftrightarrow$

$$(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists p_i \text{ prime} : r = p_1 \cdots p_k).$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.26 Example

a. \mathbb{Z} is Euclidean with $\nu(z) = |z|$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.26 Example

- a. \mathbb{Z} is Euclidean with $\nu(z) = |z|$.
- b. $K[x]$ is Euclidean with $\nu(f) = \deg(f)$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.26 Example

- a. \mathbb{Z} is Euclidean with $\nu(z) = |z|$.
- b. $K[x]$ is Euclidean with $\nu(f) = \deg(f)$.
- c. $K[[x]]$ is Euclidean with $\nu(f) = \text{ord}(f)$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.26 Example

- a. \mathbb{Z} is Euclidean with $\nu(z) = |z|$.
- b. $K[x]$ is Euclidean with $\nu(f) = \deg(f)$.
- c. $K[[x]]$ is Euclidean with $\nu(f) = \text{ord}(f)$.
- d. $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{x + iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq \mathbb{C}$ is Euclidean with

$$\nu(x + iy) = |x + iy|^2 = x^2 + y^2,$$

it is called the **Ring of Gaussian Integers**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.27 Proposition

Let $f, g \in R[x]$, $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^i$, $f_n \neq 0$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.27 Proposition

Let $f, g \in R[x]$, $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^i$, $f_n \neq 0$.

a. \exists

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.27 Proposition

Let $f, g \in R[x]$, $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^i$, $f_n \neq 0$.

a. $\exists k \geq 0$, $q, r \in R[x]$ such that

$$f_n^k \cdot g = q \cdot f + r \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(r) < \deg(f).$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.27 Proposition

Let $f, g \in R[x]$, $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^i$, $f_n \neq 0$.

a. $\exists k \geq 0, q, r \in R[x]$ such that

$$f_n^k \cdot g = q \cdot f + r \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(r) < \deg(f).$$

b. R ID & $f_n \in R^*$ $\implies \exists! q, r \in R : g = q \cdot f + r$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

Proof:

Imitate the proof for \mathbb{Z} replacing “minimal” by “minimal w.r.t. ν ”. \square

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

Proof:

Imitate the proof for \mathbb{Z} replacing “minimal” by “minimal w.r.t. ν ”. \square

1.29 Corollary \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $K[x]$, $K[[x]]$, $\mathbb{R}\{x\}$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ are *PID's*.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

Proof:

Imitate the proof for \mathbb{Z} replacing “minimal” by “minimal w.r.t. ν ”. \square

1.29 Corollary \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $K[x]$, $K[[x]]$, $\mathbb{R}\{x\}$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ are *PID's*.

1.30 Proposition Let R be a PID, $r \in R$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

Proof:

Imitate the proof for \mathbb{Z} replacing “minimal” by “minimal w.r.t. ν ”. \square

1.29 Corollary \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $K[x]$, $K[[x]]$, $\mathbb{R}\{x\}$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ are *PID's*.

1.30 Proposition Let R be a PID, $r \in R$.

a. r *irreducible* $\iff \langle r \rangle \triangleleft \cdot R$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

Proof:

Imitate the proof for \mathbb{Z} replacing “minimal” by “minimal w.r.t. ν ”. \square

1.29 Corollary \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $K[x]$, $K[[x]]$, $\mathbb{R}\{x\}$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ are *PID's*.

1.30 Proposition Let R be a PID, $r \in R$.

a. r irreducible $\iff \langle r \rangle \triangleleft \cdot R$.

b. r irreducible $\implies r$ prime.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.28 Theorem

$$R \text{ Euclidean} \implies R \text{ PID.}$$

Proof:

Imitate the proof for \mathbb{Z} replacing “minimal” by “minimal w.r.t. ν ”. \square

1.29 Corollary \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $K[x]$, $K[[x]]$, $\mathbb{R}\{x\}$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ are *PID's*.

1.30 Proposition Let R be a PID, $r \in R$.

- r irreducible $\iff \langle r \rangle \triangleleft \cdot R$.
- r irreducible $\implies r$ prime.
- $\text{Spec}(R) = \mathfrak{m} - \text{Spec}(R) \cup \{\langle 0 \rangle\}$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.31 Example

$3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{x + y \cdot \sqrt{-5} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is **irred.**, but **not prime**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.31 Example

$3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{x + y \cdot \sqrt{-5} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is **irred.**, but **not prime**.

In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is **no PID**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.31 Example

$3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{x + y \cdot \sqrt{-5} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is **irred.**, but **not prime**.

In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is **no PID**.

1.32 Corollary

$$R \text{ PID} \implies R \text{ UFD.}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.31 Example

$3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{x + y \cdot \sqrt{-5} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is **irred.**, but **not prime**.

In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is **no PID**.

1.32 Corollary

$$R \text{ PID} \implies R \text{ UFD.}$$

1.33 Corollary \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $K[x]$, $K[[x]]$, $\mathbb{R}\{x\}$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ are **UFD's**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

a. R is a **UFD**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

- a. R is a UFD.
- b. (r irreducible $\implies r$ prime)

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

a. R is a UFD.

b. (r irreducible $\implies r$ prime) and

$(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists p_i \text{ irreducible} : r = p_1 \cdots p_k).$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

a. R is a **UFD**.

b. (r **irreducible** $\implies r$ **prime**) and

$$(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists p_i \text{ **irreducible** : } r = p_1 \cdots p_k).$$

c. $(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists p_i \text{ **irreducible** : } r = p_1 \cdots p_k).$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

a. R is a **UFD**.

b. (r **irreducible** $\implies r$ **prime**) and

$(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists p_i$ **irreducible** $: r = p_1 \cdots p_k)$.

c. $(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists$ **unique irred.** $p_i : r = p_1 \cdots p_k)$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.34 Proposition *The following are equivalent:*

a. R is a UFD.

b. (r irreducible $\implies r$ prime) and

$(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists p_i \text{ irreducible} : r = p_1 \cdots p_k).$

c. $(0 \neq r \in R \setminus R^* \implies \exists \text{ unique irred. } p_i : r = p_1 \cdots p_k).$

i.e. if $r = p_1 \cdots p_k = q_1 \cdots q_l$ with p_i, q_i irred., then

● $k = l$, and

● after reordering $\langle p_i \rangle = \langle q_i \rangle$ for all i .

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

a. g is a **gcd (greatest common divisor)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\Leftrightarrow g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (t \mid r_i \forall i \implies t \mid g)$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

a. g is a **gcd (greatest common divisor)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\Leftrightarrow g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (t \mid r_i \forall i \implies t \mid g)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad \nexists p \text{ irred.} : p \mid \frac{r_i}{g} \forall i$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

a. g is a **gcd (greatest common divisor)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\Leftrightarrow g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (t \mid r_i \forall i \implies t \mid g)$$

Not.: $\text{gcd}(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \{g \in R \mid g \text{ is gcd of } r_1, \dots, r_k\}$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

a. g is a **gcd (greatest common divisor)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\iff g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (t \mid r_i \forall i \implies t \mid g)$$

Not.: $\text{gcd}(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \{g \in R \mid g \text{ is gcd of } r_1, \dots, r_k\}$.

b. l is an **lcm (lowest common multiple)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\iff r_i \mid l \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (r_i \mid t \forall i \implies l \mid t)$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

a. g is a **gcd (greatest common divisor)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\Leftrightarrow g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (t \mid r_i \forall i \implies t \mid g)$$

Not.: $\text{gcd}(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \{g \in R \mid g \text{ is gcd of } r_1, \dots, r_k\}$.

b. l is an **lcm (lowest common multiple)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\Leftrightarrow r_i \mid l \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (r_i \mid t \forall i \implies l \mid t)$$

$$\stackrel{k=2}{\Leftrightarrow} r_1, r_2 \mid l \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r_1 \cdot r_2}{l} \in \text{gcd}(r_1, r_2).$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.35 Definition Let R be a UFD and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$.

a. g is a **gcd (greatest common divisor)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\iff g \mid r_i \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (t \mid r_i \forall i \implies t \mid g)$$

Not.: $\text{gcd}(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \{g \in R \mid g \text{ is gcd of } r_1, \dots, r_k\}$.

b. l is an **lcm (lowest common multiple)** of r_1, \dots, r_k

$$:\iff r_i \mid l \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad (r_i \mid t \forall i \implies l \mid t)$$

Not.: $\text{lcm}(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \{l \in R \mid l \text{ is lcm of } r_1, \dots, r_k\}$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

$$g \in \gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle g \rangle = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

$$g \in \gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle g \rangle = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$$

and

$$l \in \text{lcm}(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle l \rangle = \langle r_1 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle r_k \rangle.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

$$g \in \gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle g \rangle = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$$

and

$$l \in \text{lcm}(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle l \rangle = \langle r_1 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle r_k \rangle.$$

1.37 Lemma Let R be an ID and $r \in R$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

$$g \in \gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle g \rangle = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$$

and

$$l \in \text{lcm}(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle l \rangle = \langle r_1 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle r_k \rangle.$$

1.37 Lemma Let R be an ID and $r \in R$.

a. $R[x]^* = R^*$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

$$g \in \gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle g \rangle = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$$

and

$$l \in \text{lcm}(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle l \rangle = \langle r_1 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle r_k \rangle.$$

1.37 Lemma Let R be an ID and $r \in R$.

a. $R[x]^* = R^*$.

b. r irreducible in $R \implies r$ irreducible in $R[x]$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.36 Remark Let R be a PID.

$$g \in \gcd(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle g \rangle = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$$

and

$$l \in \text{lcm}(r_1, \dots, r_k) \iff \langle l \rangle = \langle r_1 \rangle \cap \dots \cap \langle r_k \rangle.$$

1.37 Lemma Let R be an ID and $r \in R$.

- $R[x]^* = R^*$.
- r irreducible in $R \implies r$ irreducible in $R[x]$.
- r prime in $R \implies r$ prime in $R[x]$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.38 Theorem (Lemma of Gauß)

$$R \text{ a UFD} \implies R[x] \text{ a UFD.}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.38 Theorem (Lemma of Gauß)

$$R \text{ a UFD} \implies R[x] \text{ a UFD.}$$

1.39 Corollary K a *field* $\implies K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a *UFD*.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.38 Theorem (Lemma of Gauß)

$$R \text{ a UFD} \implies R[x] \text{ a UFD.}$$

1.39 Corollary K a field $\implies K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a UFD.

1.40 Corollary $R[x]$ is a PID $\iff R$ is a field.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.38 Theorem (Lemma of Gauß)

$$R \text{ a UFD} \implies R[x] \text{ a UFD.}$$

1.39 Corollary K a field $\implies K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a UFD.

1.40 Corollary $R[x]$ is a PID $\iff R$ is a field.

In particular, $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is *not* a PID for $n \geq 2$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.38 Theorem (Lemma of Gauß)

$$R \text{ a UFD} \implies R[x] \text{ a UFD.}$$

1.39 Corollary K a field $\implies K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a UFD.

1.40 Corollary $R[x]$ is a PID $\iff R$ is a field.

In particular, $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is *not* a PID for $n \geq 2$.

1.41 Theorem

$\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}\right]$ is a PID, but *not* Euclidean.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

We have seen in Theorem 1.41, Corollary 1.39 and 1.40 that:

$$R \text{ is Euclidean} \implies R \text{ is a PID} \implies R \text{ is a UFD,}$$

but

$$R \text{ is Euclidean} \not\Leftarrow R \text{ is a PID} \not\Leftarrow R \text{ is a UFD.}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

*Then R is a **PID** $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

Then R is a PID $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall 0 \neq b \nmid a \quad \exists u, v \in R : \alpha(0) < \alpha(ua - vb) < \alpha(b).$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

*Then R is a **PID** $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\forall 0 \neq b \nmid a \quad \exists u, v \in R : \alpha(0) < \alpha(ua - vb) < \alpha(b).$$

Idea: $g = ua - vb \in \gcd(a, b)$ and $\langle g \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle!$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

*Then R is a **PID** $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\forall 0 \neq b \nmid a \quad \exists u, v \in R : \alpha(0) < \alpha(ua - vb) < \alpha(b).$$

Idea: $g = ua - vb \in \gcd(a, b)$ and $\langle g \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle!$

1.43 Proposition *R be **Euclidean**, $0 \neq p \in R \setminus R^*$ minimal w.r.t ν ,
 $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\langle p \rangle : a \mapsto \bar{a}$. Then:*

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

*Then R is a **PID** $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\forall 0 \neq b \nmid a \quad \exists u, v \in R : \alpha(0) < \alpha(ua - vb) < \alpha(b).$$

Idea: $g = ua - vb \in \gcd(a, b)$ and $\langle g \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle!$

1.43 Proposition *R be **Euclidean**, $0 \neq p \in R \setminus R^*$ minimal w.r.t ν ,
 $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\langle p \rangle : a \mapsto \bar{a}$. Then:*

*a. p is **prime** & $K = R/\langle p \rangle$ is a **field**.*

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

*Then R is a **PID** $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\forall 0 \neq b \nmid a \quad \exists u, v \in R : \alpha(0) < \alpha(ua - vb) < \alpha(b).$$

Idea: $g = ua - vb \in \gcd(a, b)$ and $\langle g \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle!$

1.43 Proposition *R be **Euclidean**, $0 \neq p \in R \setminus R^*$ minimal w.r.t ν ,
 $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\langle p \rangle : a \mapsto \bar{a}$. Then:*

a. p is **prime** & $K = R/\langle p \rangle$ is a **field**.

b. $a \in R \implies a = q \cdot p + r$ with $r = 0$ or $r \in R^*$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.42 Proposition *Let R be an ID.*

*Then R is a **PID** $\iff \exists \alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\forall 0 \neq b \nmid a \quad \exists u, v \in R : \alpha(0) < \alpha(ua - vb) < \alpha(b).$$

Idea: $g = ua - vb \in \gcd(a, b)$ and $\langle g \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle!$

1.43 Proposition *R be **Euclidean**, $0 \neq p \in R \setminus R^*$ minimal w.r.t ν ,
 $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\langle p \rangle : a \mapsto \bar{a}$. Then:*

- a. p is **prime** & $K = R/\langle p \rangle$ is a **field**.
- b. $a \in R \implies a = q \cdot p + r$ with $r = 0$ or $r \in R^*$.
- c. $\pi(R^*) = K^*$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ on $R = \mathbf{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ on $R = \mathbf{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4} = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4} = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim: $R^* = \{1, -1\} = \{x \in R \mid N(x) = 1\}$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4} = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim: $R^* = \{1, -1\} = \{x \in R \mid N(x) = 1\}$.

$$1 = x \cdot y \quad \implies \quad 1 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad |x|^2, |y|^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4} = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim: $R^* = \{1, -1\} = \{x \in R \mid N(x) = 1\}$.

$$1 = x \cdot y \implies 1 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad |x|^2, |y|^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus:

$$1 = |x|^2 = N(x) = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4}.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Proof of Theorem 1.41, see [Bru00]:

Define a Norm $N : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ on $R = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ by

$$N(a + b\omega) = |a + b\omega|^2 = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + 19 \cdot \frac{b^2}{4} = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim: $R^* = \{1, -1\} = \{x \in R \mid N(x) = 1\}$.

$$1 = x \cdot y \implies 1 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad |x|^2, |y|^2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

And hence if $x = a + b \cdot \omega$:

$$b^2 = 0, \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 = 1 \implies b = 0, a \in \{1, -1\}.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad \implies \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad x, y \notin R^* \quad \implies \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

with $N(x), N(y) > 1$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad x, y \notin R^* \quad \implies \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

with $N(x), N(y) > 1$

$$\implies \quad 2 = N(x)$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad x, y \notin R^* \quad \implies \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

with $N(x), N(y) > 1$

$$\implies \quad 2 = N(x) = N(a + b \cdot \omega) = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{19}{4} \cdot b^2.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad x, y \notin R^* \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

with $N(x), N(y) > 1$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad 2 = N(x) = N(a + b \cdot \omega) = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{19}{4} \cdot b^2.$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad b = 0$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad x, y \notin R^* \quad \implies \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

with $N(x), N(y) > 1$

$$\implies \quad 2 = N(x) = N(a + b \cdot \omega) = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{19}{4} \cdot b^2.$$

$$\implies \quad b = 0 \quad \implies \quad a^2 = 2 \quad \& \quad a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \color{green}{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: 2 and 3 are irreducible.

$$2 = x \cdot y, \quad x, y \notin R^* \quad \implies \quad 4 = |x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 = N(x) \cdot N(y),$$

with $N(x), N(y) > 1$

$$\implies \quad 2 = N(x) = N(a + b \cdot \omega) = \left(a + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{19}{4} \cdot b^2.$$

$$\implies \quad b = 0 \quad \implies \quad a^2 = 2 \quad \& \quad a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \color{green}{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow}$$

The proof for 3 works analogously.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is not Euclidean.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

I.e. $0 \neq p \in R$ minimal w.r.t. ν and then

- p is **prime**.
- $K = R/\langle p \rangle$ is **field**.
- $a \in R \implies a = q \cdot p + r$ with $r = 0$ or $r \in R^*$.
- $|K^*| \leq |R^*|$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

Note:

$$|R/\langle 2 \rangle| = \left| \left\{ \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \overline{\sqrt{-19}}, \overline{1 + \sqrt{-19}} \right\} \right| = 4$$

and

$$|R/\langle 3 \rangle| = \left| \left\{ \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \overline{\sqrt{-19}}, \overline{1 + \sqrt{-19}}, \overline{2 + \sqrt{-19}} \right\} \right| = 6.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

Hence:

$$\langle 2 \rangle \neq \langle p \rangle \neq \langle 3 \rangle.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

Hence:

$$\langle 2 \rangle \neq \langle p \rangle \neq \langle 3 \rangle.$$

In particular:

$$2 = q \cdot p + r \quad \text{with } r \neq 0$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

Hence:

$$\langle 2 \rangle \neq \langle p \rangle \neq \langle 3 \rangle.$$

In particular:

$$2 = q \cdot p + r \quad \text{with } r \neq 0 \implies r \in R^* = \{1, -1\}.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

Hence:

$$\langle 2 \rangle \neq \langle p \rangle \neq \langle 3 \rangle.$$

In particular:

$$2 = q \cdot p + r \quad \text{with } r \neq 0 \implies r \in R^* = \{1, -1\}.$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} q \cdot p = 1 \\ \text{⚡⚡⚡ to } p \text{ prime,} \end{cases}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim: R is **not** Euclidean.

Suppose R was Euclidean and choose $p \in R$ as in 1.43.

$$\implies |R/\langle p \rangle| = |K| \leq |R^*| + 1 = 3.$$

Hence:

$$\langle 2 \rangle \neq \langle p \rangle \neq \langle 3 \rangle.$$

In particular:

$$2 = q \cdot p + r \quad \text{with } r \neq 0 \implies r \in R^* = \{1, -1\}.$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} q \cdot p = 1 & \text{⚡⚡⚡ to } p \text{ prime,} \\ q \cdot p = 3 \implies p \mid 3 & \text{⚡⚡⚡ to } 3 \text{ irred. \& } \langle p \rangle \neq \langle 3 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim:

$$\forall x, y \in R : 0 \neq y \nmid x \quad \exists u, v \in R : 0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Claim:

$$\forall x, y \in R : 0 \neq y \nmid x \quad \exists u, v \in R : 0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1.$$

Note:

$$\frac{x}{y} \in \mathbb{Q}[\omega] \quad \implies \quad \exists a', b', a, b, q, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 0 \leq a < q, 0 \leq b < s,$$

$$1 \in \gcd(a, q) \text{ and } 1 \in \gcd(b, s)$$

$$\text{such that } \frac{x}{y} = \left(a' + \frac{a}{q} \right) + \left(b' + \frac{b}{s} \right) \cdot \omega.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \left(a' + \frac{a}{q} \right) + \left(b' + \frac{b}{s} \right) \cdot \omega$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \left(a' + \frac{a}{q} \right) + \left(b' + \frac{b}{s} \right) \cdot \omega$.

If $u', v' \in R$ such that

$$0 < \left| u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) - v' \right| < 1,$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \left(a' + \frac{a}{q} \right) + \left(b' + \frac{b}{s} \right) \cdot \omega$.

If $u', v' \in R$ such that

$$0 < \left| u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) - v' \right| < 1,$$

then $u = u'$ and $v = v' + u' \cdot (a' + b' \cdot \omega)$ works, since

$$u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v = u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) + u' \cdot (a' + b' \cdot \omega) - v' - u' \cdot (a' + b' \cdot \omega)$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \left(a' + \frac{a}{q} \right) + \left(b' + \frac{b}{s} \right) \cdot \omega$.

If $u', v' \in R$ such that

$$0 < \left| u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) - v' \right| < 1,$$

then $u = u'$ and $v = v' + u' \cdot (a' + b' \cdot \omega)$ works, since

$$u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v = u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) - v'.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \left(a' + \frac{a}{q} \right) + \left(b' + \frac{b}{s} \right) \cdot \omega$.

If $u', v' \in R$ such that

$$0 < \left| u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) - v' \right| < 1,$$

then $u = u'$ and $v = v' + u' \cdot (a' + b' \cdot \omega)$ works, since

$$u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v = u' \cdot \left(\frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega \right) - v'.$$

We may, therefore, assume that $a' = b' = 0$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$ and

- $0 \leq a < q$,
- $0 \leq b < s$,
- $1 \in \gcd(a, q)$, and
- $1 \in \gcd(b, s)$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$

1st Case $b = 0$: Then $u = 1$ and $v = 0$ works.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$

1st Case $b = 0$: Then $u = 1$ and $v = 0$ works.

2nd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \nmid s$: Then

$$q \nmid s \cdot a \implies \exists 0 < d < q, c \in \mathbb{Z} : sa = cq + d,$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$

1st Case $b = 0$: Then $u = 1$ and $v = 0$ works.

2nd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \nmid s$: Then

$$q \nmid s \cdot a \implies \exists 0 < d < q, c \in \mathbb{Z} : sa = cq + d,$$

and $u = s$ and $v = c + b\omega$ works:

$$\left| s \cdot \frac{x}{y} - (c + b\omega) \right|^2 = \left| \frac{sa}{q} + b\omega - c - b\omega \right|^2 = \left| \frac{d}{q} \right|^2.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

1st Case $b = 0$: Then $u = 1$ and $v = 0$ works.

2nd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \nmid s$: Then $u = s$ and $v = c + b\omega$ works.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$:

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$: Then

$$1 \in \gcd(s, b) \implies \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$: Then

$$1 \in \gcd(s, b) \implies \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$$

$$\implies \frac{ma}{q} + \frac{mb}{s} \cdot \omega = \left(l + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) + \left(k + \frac{1}{s} \right) \cdot \omega$$

for suitable $l, k, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$:

$$\frac{ma}{q} + \frac{mb}{s} \cdot \omega = \left(l + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) + \left(k + \frac{1}{s} \right) \cdot \omega \text{ with } \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $u = m$ and $v = l + k\omega$ works:

$$\left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 = \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{-19}}{2} \right|^2$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$:

$$\frac{ma}{q} + \frac{mb}{s} \cdot \omega = \left(l + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) + \left(k + \frac{1}{s} \right) \cdot \omega \text{ with } \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $u = m$ and $v = l + k\omega$ works:

$$\left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 = \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{-19}}{2} \right|^2 = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{2s} \right)^2 + \frac{19}{4s^2}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$:

$$\frac{ma}{q} + \frac{mb}{s} \cdot \omega = \left(l + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) + \left(k + \frac{1}{s} \right) \cdot \omega \text{ with } \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $u = m$ and $v = l + k\omega$ works:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 &= \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{-19}}{2} \right|^2 = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{2s} \right)^2 + \frac{19}{4s^2} \\ &= \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} + \frac{a_1}{a_2 s} + \frac{20}{4s^2} \end{aligned}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$:

$$\frac{ma}{q} + \frac{mb}{s} \cdot \omega = \left(l + \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) + \left(k + \frac{1}{s} \right) \cdot \omega \text{ with } \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $u = m$ and $v = l + k\omega$ works:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 &= \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{-19}}{2} \right|^2 = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{2s} \right)^2 + \frac{19}{4s^2} \\ &= \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} + \frac{a_1}{a_2 s} + \frac{20}{4s^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{20}{36} = \frac{35}{36} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

1st Case $b = 0$: Then $u = 1$ and $v = 0$ works.

2nd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \nmid s$: Then $u = s$ and $v = c + b\omega$ works.

3rd Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s > 2$: Then $u = m$, $v = l + k\omega$ works.

4th Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s = 2$:

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

4th Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s = 2$: Then $q = s = 2$

$$\implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{x}{y} = \frac{\omega}{2} \implies u = 1 + \omega, v = -2 + \omega \\ \frac{x}{y} = \frac{1+\omega}{2} \implies u = \omega, v = -2 + \omega \end{array} \right\} \text{ works, since}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Aim: $0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1$ where $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{b}{s} \cdot \omega$.

4th Case $b \neq 0$, $q \mid s$, $s = 2$: Then $q = s = 2$

$\implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{x}{y} = \frac{\omega}{2} \implies u = 1 + \omega, v = -2 + \omega \\ \frac{x}{y} = \frac{1+\omega}{2} \implies u = \omega, v = -2 + \omega \end{array} \right\}$ works, since

$$0 \neq \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 = \left| -\frac{1}{2} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4} < 1,$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

This proves the claim:

$$\forall x, y \in R : 0 \neq y \nmid x \quad \exists u, v \in R : 0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1.$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

This proves the claim:

$$\forall x, y \in R : 0 \neq y \nmid x \quad \exists u, v \in R : 0 < \left| u \cdot \frac{x}{y} - v \right|^2 < 1.$$

and hence

Proposition 1.42 with $\alpha = N \implies R$ is a **PID**!

□

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

a. $|K : \mathbb{Q}| = 2 \iff K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}], 0, 1 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

- a. $|K : \mathbb{Q}| = 2 \iff K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}], 0, 1 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree.
- b. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d] = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}] \mid a \text{ integral}\}$ for

$$\omega_d = \begin{cases} \sqrt{d}, & d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}, & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

- a. $|K : \mathbb{Q}| = 2 \iff K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}], 0, 1 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree.
- b. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d] = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}] \mid a \text{ integral}\}$
- c. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **UFD** $\iff \mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **PID**.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

- a. $|K : \mathbb{Q}| = 2 \iff K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}], 0, 1 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree.
- b. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d] = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}] \mid a \text{ integral}\}$
- c. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **UFD** $\iff \mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **PID**.
- d. If $d \leq -1$ then

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

a. $|K : \mathbb{Q}| = 2 \iff K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}], 0, 1 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree.

b. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d] = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}] \mid a \text{ integral}\}$

c. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **UFD** $\iff \mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **PID**.

d. If $d \leq -1$ then

$\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ **UFD** $\iff d \in \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163\}$

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

1.45 Remark

a. $|K : \mathbb{Q}| = 2 \iff K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}], 0, 1 \neq d \in \mathbb{Z}$ squarefree.

b. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d] = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}] \mid a \text{ integral}\}$

c. $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **UFD** $\iff \mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is a **PID**.

d. If $d \leq -1$ then

$\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ **UFD** $\iff d \in \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163\}$

and

$\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d]$ is **Euclidean** $\iff d \in \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11\}$.

PID's, UFD's and Euclidean Rings

Literatur

- [AtM69] Michael F. Atiyah and Ian G. MacDonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [Bru00] Winfried Bruns, *Zahlentheorie*, OSM, Reihe V, no. 146, FB Mathematik/Informatik, Universität Osnabrück, 2000.
- [ScS88] Günter Scheja and Uwe Storch, *Lehrbuch der Algebra*, Mathematische Leitfäden, vol. II, Teubner, 1988.