

## Exercises for the Course Modular forms

Prof. Dr. A. v. Pippich

Exercise class: 21.12.18

### Exercise sheet 7

#### Exercise 1

Recall that, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have

$$P(\tau) := 2\zeta(2) + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^2} = 2\zeta(2) - 8\pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n)q^n.$$

Also, recall that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\tau - d} + \frac{1}{\tau + d} \right) = \pi \cot(\pi\tau) = \pi i - 2\pi i \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q^m. \quad (1)$$

The aim of this exercise is to show that

$$(P|_2\gamma)(\tau) = P(\tau) - \frac{2\pi ic}{(c\tau + d)} \quad (2)$$

for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and any  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $P(\tau)$  satisfies the identity in (2) for two particular matrices  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Show that  $P(\tau)$  then satisfies the identity for the product  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$  and the inverse  $\gamma_1^{-1}$  as well. Thus to establish (2) it suffices to prove the identity for a set of generators for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- (b) Use the Fourier expansion for  $P(\tau)$  to show that it satisfies (2) for  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- (c) Consider  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Show that

$$(P|_2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})(\tau) = 2\zeta(2) + \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^2},$$

which differs from the definition of  $P(\tau)$  in the reversed order of summation.

- (d) Use partial fractions to show that

$$\sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)(c\tau + d + 1)} = 0.$$

Subtract this from  $P(\tau)$  to show that

$$P(\tau) = 2\zeta(2) + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^2(c\tau + d + 1)},$$

where now the sum is absolutely convergent.

(e) Reverse the order of summation in (d) and show that

$$P(\tau) = \tau^{-2}P(-1/\tau) - \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)(c\tau + d + 1)}.$$

The error term is

$$- \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{d=-N}^{N-1} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{1}{c\tau + d} - \frac{1}{c\tau + d + 1} \right).$$

Reverse the order and the inner sum telescopes. Manipulate the result into an expression including a sum for  $\pi \cot(\pi N/\tau)$  per the first equality of (1). Then, use the other side of (1) to take the limit. Conclude that  $P(\tau)$  satisfies (2) for  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

(f) Show that

$$\frac{1}{j(\gamma, \tau)^2 \mathrm{Im}(\gamma\tau)} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Im}(\tau)} - \frac{2ic}{c\tau + d}$$

for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and any  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Conclude that the function  $P(\tau) - \pi/\mathrm{Im}(\tau)$  has weight 2 w.r.t.  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , but that it is not holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

## Exercise 2

Recall that the Dedekind eta function is given, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , by the infinite product

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{2\pi i\tau/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i\tau n}).$$

Prove that  $\eta$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Hint:* Take the logarithm of the product and show that the resulting series converges absolutely and locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{H}$ .